

Missional Momentum Fund Scoring Rubric

Blinded applications will be scored by two independent reviewers across five categories: alignment with fund purpose (20%), expected ministry impact (30%), organizational readiness (20%), leadership capacity (15%), and financial stewardship (15%), using the rubric below.

Reviewers record their scores for each application using the companion Missional Momentum Fund Reviewer Scorecard (a separate spreadsheet, for internal committee use), which calculates each application's total weighted score automatically as scores are entered.

Criterion	Weight	Scoring Guidance
Alignment with Fund Purpose	20%	5 – Exceptional alignment; clearly catalytic and transformational.
		4 – Strong alignment; advances one or more key purposes.
		3 – Adequate alignment; generally supports the Fund's purpose.
		2 – Limited alignment; primarily addresses short-term or routine needs.
		1 – Little or no alignment.
Expected Ministry Impact	30%	5 – Exceptional, lasting impact on ministry and community.
		4 – Strong, meaningful impact with clear benefits.
		3 – Moderate impact with reasonable outcomes.
		2 – Limited or unclear impact.
		1 – Minimal or unsupported impact.
Organizational Readiness	20%	5 – Comprehensive, feasible plan with exceptional readiness.
		4 – Well-planned with strong readiness.
		3 – Adequate planning; feasible.
		2 – Planning gaps raise concerns.
		1 – Appears unprepared to implement.
Leadership Capacity	15%	5 – Exceptional clergy/lay leadership with strong support.
		4 – Strong leadership, clearly defined roles.
		3 – Adequate leadership.
		2 – Limited or uncertain capacity.
		1 – Insufficient capacity demonstrated.
Financial Stewardship	15%	5 – Excellent budget, justification, long-term stewardship.
		4 – Strong budget, appropriate use of funds.
		3 – Reasonable budget, minor weaknesses.
		2 – Budget lacks detail or raises concerns.
		1 – Poorly justified or unrealistic.

Scoring Guidelines:

Score	Representation
1	Weak
2	Limited
3	Adequate
4	Strong
5	Exceptional